

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR CONFIRMATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. **FILING DATE** APPLICATION NO. 032397-009 5435 02/02/2000 Rod Stambaugh 09/495,898 **EXAMINER** 11/03/2005 7590 35437 MINTZ LEVIN COHN FERRIS GLOVSKY & POPEO SHERR, CRISTINA O 666 THIRD AVENUE PAPER NUMBER **ART UNIT** NEW YORK, NY 10017 3621

DATE MAILED: 11/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---------------------|----------------|
| 09/495,898 | STAMBAUGH, ROD |
| Examiner | Art Unit |
| Cristina Owen Sherr | 3621 |

| 9 7 7 | | | |
|---|--|--|---|
| | Cristina Owen Sherr | 3621 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | lress |
| THE REPLY FILED 11 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A | APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR | RALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: | wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (| idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date | of the final rejection. | | • |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f). | g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F | ion. FILED WITHIN |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) | tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origon than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi | iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as |
| NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compail filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS | The state of the s | avoid dismissal of th | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) | nsideration and/or search (see NO | | ecause |
| (c) They raise the issue of flett matter (see No 12 below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in began appeal; and/or | • | ducing or simplifying | the issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | | ected claims. | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | | |
| The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | mpliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). | | timely filed amendme | ent canceling the |
| 7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | Il be entered and an | explanation of |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe | al and/or appellant fa | ils to provide a |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | • | | - |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by | ut does NOT place the application i | n condition for allowa | ince because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I | No(s) | |
| 13. Other: Rejections not overcome. | | Dem of Col | 2 |
| | | Drin and So our | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office